

4/15

Section B

Answer **one** question. Write your answer on the lines in the box provided.

- 5. "Trade is always more effective than aid in reducing global disparities." Referring to examples, discuss this statement. [15]
- 6. "Forced international migrations bring more positive than negative impacts to recipient countries". Referring to examples, discuss this statement. [15]
- 7. "Ensuring adequate water supplies is a greater environmental challenge for the world than adapting to climate change." Referring to examples, discuss this statement. [15]

6. forced international migrations happen when ~~of~~ ~~too~~ politics, natural disasters or a war force people to seek refuge in another country to seek safety and help. And with this migration comes a lot of positive and negatives to the host country. In my opinion I ~~believe~~ agree with this statement "Forced international migrations bring more positive than negative impacts to recipient countries". However, it depends on how well the recipient can accommodate the migrants. In this essay I will be of course be discussing the positive and negatives of forced migration between international countries and the effect it has on the host country.

Migrants can provide brain power? ~~for~~ for the host country. Many migrants could have been doctors or lawyers in their home country and could benefit the host country. When England were accepting Syrian refugees, they



let out a statement that they would only accept Syrians who had high ranking jobs as it would benefit their economy. Thus England had a strict rule in their terms of migration.

However in Germany, they accepted a lot of migrants from Syria with any previous occupation, which has resulted in some of the migrants going jobless as they could not compete with others. This caused some Syrians to go homeless and eventually go into gangs with and cause violence. This shows if you have strict regulations in your country, you can be get positive outcomes. However if you let anyone migrate in there may be some more negative than positive.

Migrants in a host country can take the low income jobs that the host population have been too large to do.

When Mexicans migrate up into America it is normally voluntary migration. However, many migrated due to their poor living conditions and risk of death due to gang wars. Thus, when they migrate they take the simple jobs like mowing the lawns, plumbing, janitorial and basically jobs the Americans don't want. This benefits the host country as they get more money from their tax paying and therefore benefits the economy.

SEEN

SEEN

SEEN



However, ~~most~~ <sup>A lot</sup> migrants from Mexico are illegal and do not have a green card and therefore do not pay taxes. And because of most people generally view Mexico as illegal immigrants nowadays, discrimination happens which is a negative impact within the host country, as sides will be taken and violence will break out forcing America to either ban Mexican in general or focus on stopping violence, which can make money. From this we can see that there is a balance of positive and negative but the negative cause more damage than the positives do good. SEEN.

Countries that take in migrants from that are forced to leave their home country are seen as 'good countries' as they are helping out. This can cause tourism and income as people will fly in to help the migrants or other ~~countries~~ countries will donate money or supplies. This benefits the host country as they get recognition and honor. However, it is all down to the media and how they portray the story. When England stopped taking migrants, the media gave them a bad image resulting in less tourism, whereas Germany, who took a lot of migrants in, were gained a lot of tourism due to the large <sup>number</sup>.



help ~~volunte~~ volunteered by charity groups.

A negative aspect again is the host country citizens and how they react to the large quantities of people entering their country. Many can react violently causing problems within the country.

SEEN

To conclude, after writing this essay I found out that it is hard for positive affect to take place within a host country as many things can go wrong so easily. It is evident that for every positive there are more negatives due to the humans being unpredictable.

Generalised narrative - reference to Syrians lacks detail while Mexicans to USA not justified as forced. Some k&u but partial response at best C 4

SEEN

