

ISRMUN Evaluation Rubric

Standard	Poor Delegate	Average Delegate	Good Delegate	Best Delegate
Research and Preparation	 Limited or no understanding of the topic being discussed Does not use evidence or research to support their arguments May be completely off policy or spread false information in the committee 	 Decent understanding of the major points, but struggles with arguments beyond the surface level Largely replicates other delegates' research or cites only common statistics Can recite facts well but does not provide adequate context and/or analysis of those facts 	 Strong understanding of the major points and a decent command of the nuances of the topic Introduces unique research in committee Supports their country policy with research, but may struggle with using evidence in counterarguments Capably analyzes information and draws conclusions about the topic from that information 	 Commanding knowledge of the topic and its nuances Introduces unique research to the committee Uses research not just in their own speeches and proposals but also to support or counteract others' speeches and proposals Capably analyzes information and uses that information to draw conclusions about the topic and develop new proposals
Speaking Skills	 Does not take the discussion or committee seriously Does not speak at all Makes light of serious topics or proposals 	 Average command of the essentials of public speaking (volume, pacing, eye contact) Speaks in a flat tone that does not convey emotion well Struggles to understand the different forms of debate or does not use them well 	 Strong command of the essentials of public speaking (volume, pacing, eye contact) Occasionally uses intonation and gesticulation to draw the listener's attention to the main points, but not consistently Speaks effectively in the different forms of debate, but does not use the different forms of debate strategically 	 Has mastered the essentials of public speaking (volume, pacing, eye contact) Consistently uses intonation and gesticulation to draw the listener's attention to the main points Uses the different forms of debate adeptly to steer the focus of the committee in the direction they choose
Leadership and Diplomacy	 Not on task during the unmoderated caucuses Takes credit for others' ideas Actively resists inclusion in caucus discussions Is combative and argumentative with other delegates Does not follow the instructions of the Chair 	 Does not actively participate in unmoderated caucuses Does not properly advocate for their ideas in the caucus bloc <u>OR</u> actively shuts down the ideas of others Does not try to integrate themselves into discussions 	 Focuses primarily on either reinforcing others' points or pushing their own ideas Is primarily focused on personal recognition <u>OR</u> participates but does not seem to develop a sense of ownership over the resolution Resists the inclusion of new members into the discussion, especially if they are outspoken 	 Routinely looks to other delegates for contributions while still being a strong contributor themselves Actively works to build a sense of inclusion and promotes group work Integrates new people into the discussion and incorporates their new ideas