

Markscheme

May 2015

Geography

Higher level

Paper 3

This markscheme is **confidential** and for the exclusive use of examiners in this examination session.

It is the property of the International Baccalaureate and must **not** be reproduced or distributed to any other person without the authorization of the IB Assessment Centre.

Paper 3 markbands

Part (a)

| Level descriptor | Knowledge/ understanding AO1 | Application/ analysis AO2 | Skills AO4 | Marks 0–10 |
|------------------|--|---|--|------------|
| A | No relevant knowledge, or inappropriate | The question has been completely misinterpreted or omitted | None appropriate | 0 |
| B | Little relevant knowledge and/or understanding | Important aspects of the question are ignored | Little attempt at organization of material | 1–3 |
| C | Some relevant knowledge and understanding | Answer partially addresses the question | Some indication of structure or organization | 4–6 |
| D | Generally accurate knowledge and understanding | Answer is developed and covers most aspects of the question | Appropriate structure with generally appropriate terminology | 7–8 |
| E | Accurate, relevant knowledge and understanding | Well-developed answer that covers most or all aspects of the question | Well-structured response with sound terminology | 9–10 |

Part (b)

| Level descriptor | Knowledge/ understanding AO1 | Application/ analysis AO2 | Synthesis/ evaluation AO3 | Skills AO4 | Marks 0–15 |
|------------------|--|---|---|--|------------|
| A | No relevant knowledge, or inappropriate | The question has been completely misinterpreted or omitted | No synthesis/ evaluation | None appropriate | 0 |
| B | Little relevant knowledge and/or understanding | Important aspects of the question are ignored | Little attempt at synthesis/ evaluation | Little attempt at organization of material | 1–4 |
| C | Some relevant knowledge and understanding | Answer partially addresses the question | Basic synthesis/ basic or unsubstantiated evaluation | Some indication of structure or organization | 5–8 |
| D | Generally accurate knowledge and understanding | Answer is developed and covers most aspects of the question | Synthesis that may be partially undeveloped/ evaluation that may be partially unsubstantiated | Appropriate structure with generally appropriate terminology | 9–12 |
| E | Accurate, relevant knowledge and understanding | Well-developed answer that covers most or all aspects of the question | Clear, developed synthesis/clear, substantiated evaluation | Well-structured response with sound terminology | 13–15 |

1. (a) Using examples, distinguish between transboundary pollution and transnational waste movement.

[10]

Transboundary pollution (TBP) is pollution that crosses a national border accidentally or inadvertently due to physical processes (atmosphere, currents, *etc*). Recent examples of transboundary pollution (TBP) include forest fires in Indonesia causing smog in Singapore; Chinese pollution reaching Japan; and radiation from Fukushima reaching the shores of the USA. Also credit acid rain (if clearly shown to be transboundary) and credit Chernobyl (1986). [The Gulf of Mexico oil spill was not a TBP event because only the USA was affected. Candidates using this example only may still attain band D according to other criteria, but ought not be awarded band E.]

Transnational waste movement (TWM) includes landfill in China originating in USA; European e-waste arriving in Accra (Ghana); ship-breaking in Bangladesh; *Trafigura's* chemical waste from Europe arriving in Ivory Coast. This is a purposeful/intended global interaction / trade transaction. It is acceptable to view discarded household goods (*eg* clothes for charity) as a form of waste. Waste can also be a very valuable resource when recycled, so TWM can be seen as a positive interaction.

Good answers are likely to provide detailed exemplification. They are also likely to make a better effort to distinguish (beyond simply employing comparative language such as “whereas”): *eg* TBP may involve the dispersal of point source pollution into multiple territories whereas TWM is a movement from one territory to another; TBP has negative impacts / costs money but TWM can also be positive / makes money; TBP is accidental but TWM is deliberate, *etc*).

For band C, expect some description of a TBP event and a TWM (do not expect balance).

At band D, expect either a detailed explanation of a TBP event and a TWM (do not expect balance) or a good attempt to distinguish between them.

By band E, expect both aspects to be addressed.

- (b) “Due to global interactions, there is no longer a global periphery.” Discuss this statement.

[15]

Credit all content in line with the markbands. Credit unexpected approaches wherever relevant.

The global periphery may be defined as regions outside/beyond the core. Historically, some states were identified as being peripheral due to their spatial distance/isolation or lack of integration into economic and political systems. In 2015, this idea of peripheral states is only a very basic/antiquated starting point. A contemporary account might acknowledge also the existence of semi-peripheral states (middle-income countries) or the presence of important global hubs/cores such as Lagos in states that some people might still view as being part of a global periphery.

Another approach might be to discuss the extent to which various global flows and interactions (from international aid to social networks) have networked/connected the majority of the world’s states and a large proportion of their peoples. To give a counter-argument, examples might be cited of isolated states and regions, from which we can infer the existence of a “global periphery”.

Possible themes include:

- economic globalization of low-income countries through trade, aid, loans [Guide 3] that may originate in EU/USA “core” countries but also emerging economies/BRICs/NICs [Guide 1 and 3]
- cultural interactions between countries in the core and periphery [Guide 5]
- isolated states eg North Korea, China (culturally); non-globalized tribal groups [Guide 6 and 7]
- the “shrinking world” effect that brings connectivity to previously peripheral places [Guide 3]
- branded commodities and global media that help to connect places through the growth of a common “core” culture (possibly modified by glocalization) which more and more places share [Guide 5].

Good answers may discuss differing degrees of “peripheral” states (perhaps including semi-periphery, non-globalized periphery). Another approach might be to discuss the multi-scale existence of core and periphery patterns (recognizing the global importance of world cities in Asia, Africa and Latin America). Another approach might be to discuss what is meant by “global interactions” in the context of this question (referring to a variety of trade flows, aid flows, migration flows, information flows, etc).

For band D, expect a structured discussion of how global interactions have contributed to the disappearance/persistence/modification of a “global periphery” of places/people. This should include either a synthesis of several well evidenced themes taken from the subject guide, or nuanced and evidenced conclusion/evaluation of the statement.

At band E, expect both of these elements.

2. (a) Using examples, explain the factors responsible for the global spread of consumer culture.

[10]

Consumer culture may be described and exemplified as the spread of purchasing habits (branded clothes, fast food, music), or analysed in greater depth as the spread of a capitalist worldview wherein everyday life is commoditized and branded. Multiple traits of consumer culture might be identified, including:

- changing tastes in food (linked with retail and restaurant TNCs)
- fashion (linked with global media corporations)
- music purchasing (linked with online platforms such as iTunes and YouTube).

The key factors that can be explained include:

- the expansion of TNCs in a drive for new markets (may involve glocalization)
- the growth of global media and ICT (operating either as an independent factor or as a by-product of innovation by TNCs such as *Microsoft* and *Apple*)
- the concept of cultural imperialism (linked either to the activities of TNCs or superpower states with “soft power” such as the USA).

Good answers may note that the factors are interrelated and reinforce one another (TNCs drive innovation, for instance). Another approach might examine how different factors might be linked with different aspects/traits of consumer culture (the spread of designer clothes can be related to container shipping and TNCs; the purchasing of online games and music can be related to ICT).

For band C, expect two factors to be described and linked with the spread of culture and/or commodities.

At band D, expect either more detailed explanation of a range of factors linked with the spread of culture/commodities or greater explanation of what is meant by “the spread of consumer culture”.

By band E, expect both aspects to be addressed.

- (b) “National governments cannot control global interactions.” Discuss this statement. [15]

Credit all content in line with the markbands. Credit unexpected approaches wherever relevant.

Management by national government may comprise migration controls, rules for FDI, terms attached to loans and aid, the issues of internet control and censorship. Successes and failure to control may be highlighted either between different countries, or different kinds of global interaction (trade/migration/information flows), or both.

Possible themes include:

- MGOs such as the EU and NAFTA as evidence the statement is correct and countries must now group together in order to try to manage global interactions in ways that re-establish some control [Guide 6]
- cultural globalization as an unstoppable force (or not) and national attempts to control flows of media and information [Guide 5]
- the power of global financial institutions *eg* IMF and its insistence that national governments institute reforms *eg* SAPs [Guide 3]
- migration issues and controls [Guide 3, 6, 7]
- government promotion of localism [Guide 7]
- transboundary pollution [Guide 4]
- government attitudes towards foreign direct investment and financial flows [Guide 2]
- military conflicts *eg* ISIS in the Middle East [current affairs]

Good answers may be structured around different kinds of global interactions (*eg* contrasts management of economic interactions and cultural globalization in the course of the essay). Another approach might be to discuss the effectiveness of the actions of different types of government (democracies and autocracies).

For band D, expect a structured discussion of how national governments control/cannot control different kinds of global interaction. This should include either a synthesis of several well evidenced themes taken from the subject guide, or nuanced and evidenced conclusion/evaluation of the statement.

At band E, expect both of these elements.

3. (a) Using located examples, analyse the importance of outsourcing for transnational corporations (TNCs).
[10]

Outsourcing is when a company hands internal functions to an outside company (a client relationship), for example US/EU clothing companies outsource to Bangladesh; ICT outsourcing to Bangalore/Philippines; *BP* outsourcing of Gulf of Mexico oil rig operation to Halliburton; *McDonald's* outsourcing the management of its restaurants to local companies in some states.

Outsourcing overlaps with foreign direct investment to a large extent if the TNC invests or has an ownership stake in the company it outsources to. There is considerable overlap between outsourcing and other forms of FDI (“offshore” branch plant construction) in terms of the important factors that drive these practices:

- cheap labour (and other low costs *eg* health and safety)
- low taxes (including use of Export Processing Zones/SEZs)
- local supplier’s ability to source cheap parts/materials or greater familiarity with market (glocalization).

These generic factors should be credited, even if the candidate’s link to proper outsourcing (as opposed to other forms of FDI) is insecure.

Good answers may show awareness that some companies may prefer to keep their operations in-house or may only outsource non-essential operations, or are beginning to “re-shore” operations to reduce supply chain risks (Japanese tsunami, disturbances in Ukraine, Nigeria, Kenya, *etc*). Another approach might be to analyse how some types/sectors of TNCs (mining operations, agribusinesses) may be less reliant on outsourcing than manufacturers and retailers with large and complex supply chains. Another approach might be to analyse how some TNCs, such as *Amazon* and *Starbucks*, have been criticized by the governments of sovereign states for the claim that they have “outsourced” operations to different subsidiaries of their own company (in an attempt to avoid corporation tax).

For band C, expect some description of the reasons why it is important for TNCs to have different operations/activities carried out in different places.

At band D, expect either more detailed explanation of why different operations/activities are carried out in different places or a good attempt to analyse why out-sourcing is important for different TNCs.

By band E, expect both aspects to be addressed.

- (b) “International migration is the main reason for the loss of distinctive local cultures.”
Discuss this statement.

[15]

Credit all content in line with the markbands. Credit unexpected approaches wherever relevant.

The focus might be how a range of reasons/factors, including migration, helps explain the loss (or not) of distinctive local cultures. Likely reasons include internet/media, tourism, rising wages in emerging economies and elsewhere / changing employment structures. Credit physical reasons too, such as suggested climate change refugees (Tuvalu, Maldives).

Alternatively, candidates might discuss the extent to which migration brings loss/harm or benefits to the world’s many local cultures in both source and host regions for migration. Rather than addressing other factors, the discussion can encompass a range of ways in which migration and diaspora growth impacts on local cultures, including their preservation (the cultural “fossilization” of diaspora source nations, for instance).

Possible themes relating to migration and/or local culture include:

- migration, diaspora and multiculturalism [Guide 5]
- homogenous urban landscapes [Guide 4]
- tourism and the tourist gaze [Guide 5]
- cultural imperialism [Guide 5]
- global media and TNCs as agents of change [Guide 5 and 7]
- nationalist movements [Guide 6]
- isolationism [Guide 7].

Good answers may discuss what is meant by “loss”: culture comprises many traits, some of which may persist while others are lost or change. Another approach might be to discuss the emergence of new hybrid or “melting point” cultures *eg* in World Cities: these may replace previously homogenous cultures but they can be just as distinctive as the more homogenous cultures that were found there before.

For band D, expect a structured discussion, the central elements of which are migration, local cultures and possibly some additional reasons/factors. This should include either a synthesis of several well evidenced themes taken from the subject guide, or nuanced and evidenced conclusion/ evaluation of the statement.

At band E, expect both of these elements.
