Geography Extended Essay specific guidance and checklist

Criterion A – Focus and Method (Strands: Topic, Research Question, methodology)

Focus

Have you clearly indicated the topic of your EE at the beginning?	
Have you included the area of the research, and location, including a map?	
Have you included the purpose of the research and focus on the essay in order to clearly	
establish the context of the research question?	
Have you included some general locational background?	
Have you included an appropriate geographic theory or concept?	
Is there a clear spatial component?	
Have you stated your research question at the start of the essay – in bold?	
Are your title and research question on the title page?	

To gain 5-6 marks the marking criteria states:

Identification and explanation of the research topic is effectively communicated; the purpose and focus of the research is clear and appropriate

- The research question is clearly stated and focused.
- The research question is clear and addresses an issue of research that is appropriately connected to the discussion in the essay.

Method

Have you demonstrated within the essay that your research methodology has been well planned? And that it is appropriate for addressing the RQ.	
Have you selected an appropriate geographic approach to address the Research Question?	
Have you included both primary and secondary data?	
Have you clearly explained the investigative methods?	
Have you discussed the reliability of the research?	

To gain 5-6 marks the marking criteria states:

Methodology of the research is complete.

- An appropriate range of relevant source(s) and/or method(s) have been applied in relation to the topic and research question.
- There is evidence of effective and informed selection of sources and/or methods.

Criterion B – knowledge and understanding (Strands: Context, Subject-specific terminology and concepts)

Are your source materials clearly relevant and appropriate to the RQ?	
Have you referenced them correctly?	
Do they help demonstrate your understanding of the topic?	
Do they support your argument?	
Have you included both literature sources and data collected?	
Have you used appropriate geography specific terminology?	

Is your tense consistent throughout the essay?
--

To gain 5-6 marks the marking criteria states:

Knowledge and understanding is excellent.

- The selection of source materials is clearly relevant and appropriate to the research question.
- Knowledge of the topic/issue is clear and coherent and sources are used effectively and with understanding.

Use of terminology and concepts is good.

- The use of subject specific terminology and concepts is accurate and consistent, demonstrating effective knowledge and understanding.

Criterion C - Critical thinking (Strands: Research, Analysis and discussion and evaluation)

If you have carried out a survey, have you explained the sampling technique and included	
your system of selection and size of the sample?	
Have you included a minimum of two maps of various scales and types?	
Have you included statistical analysis?	
Have you included a variety of graphs? Do they aid communication of your analysis?	
Have you referred to the RQ and hypotheses throughout the essay?	
Have you assessed to the extent to which the hypotheses are supported and the question	
is answered by the use of your data?	
Have you identified any anomalies?	
Have you pointed out any unresolved issues and made suggestions as to how these might	
be further investigated?	
Have you commented on the reliability, quantity, balance and quality of your research,	
including your own data and published material?	
Have you identified any limitations in your approach? Have you commented on the validity	
and reliability of your data relative to your management of variables within the	
investigation?	

To gain **10-12** marks the marking criteria states:

The research is excellent.

- The research is appropriate to the RQ and its application is consistently relevant.

Analysis is excellent.

- The research is analysed effectively and clearly focused on the research question; the inclusion of less relevant research does not significantly detract from the quality of the overall analysis.
- Conclusions to individual points of analysis are effectively supported by the evidence.

Discussion/evaluation is excellent.

- An effective and focused reasoned argument is developed from the research with a conclusion reflective of the evidence presented.
- This reasoned argument is well structured and coherent; any minor inconsistencies do not hinder the strength of the overall argument or the final or summative conclusion.
- The research has been critically evaluated.

Criterion D – Presentation (Strands: Structure, Layout)

Have you included a contents page?	
Have you put in headings for each section and sub-sections?	
Have you put your graphs at the appropriate places throughout the essay?	
Have you labelled and referenced all maps, graphs and diagrams?	
Are all maps, graphs and diagrams of good quality and contribute to the argument?	
Is your raw data and copy of surveys in the appendix?	
Have you created most of your maps and graphs yourself?	
Have you referenced correctly all quotes and ideas from other sources?	
Does your referencing follow a consistent pattern of presentation?	
Have you included a Title page, table of contents, page numbers and bibliography?	
Does your essay exceed the 4000 word maximum?	

To gain 3-4 marks the marking criteria states:

Presentation is good.

- The structure of the essay clearly is appropriate in terms of the expected conventions for the topic, the argument and subject in which the essay is registered.
- Layout considerations are present and applied correctly.
- The structure and layout support the reading, understanding and evaluation of the extended essay.

Criterion E – Engagement (Strands: Process, Research focus)

Reflection 1 (approx. 150 words)	
Have you reflected on the decision-making and planning process undertaken in	
completing the essay?	
Have you explained how you arrived at your topic and research question?	
Have you explained how you worked out what your methodology would be?	
Reflection 2 (approx. 150 words)	
Is there evidence of your thought processes for changes?	
Have you discussed how your conceptual understandings have developed or	
changed as a result of your research?	
Have you discussed the setbacks you have faced in your research and how you	
overcame these?	
Reflection 3 – (After the viva voce and approx. 200 words)	
Have you mentioned any questions that emerged as a result of the research?	
Have you mentioned what you would do differently if you were able to undertake	
the research again?	
Have you discussed the Approaches to learning skills that you have developed and	
their effect on you as a learner? (E.g. reflective, critical thinking, and	
communication skills)	
Overall impression	
Do your 3 reflections highlight the journey you have engaged in through the EE	
process?	
Do your reflections provide the examiner an insight into your thinking, creativity	

and originality within the research process?

	•			
Can the	examiner	hear Y	MULK	NUICE 5

To gain 5-6 marks the marking criteria states:

Engagement is excellent.

- Reflections on decision-making and planning are evaluative and include reference to the student's capacity to consider actions and ideas in response to setbacks experienced in the research process.
- These reflections communicate a high degree of intellectual and personal engagement with the research focus and process of research, demonstrating authenticity, intellectual initiative and/or creative approach in the student voice.